

# Function Spaces of Topological Inverse Semigroups

Hamidreza. Rahimi and Mohammadsadegh. Asgari

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Islamic Azad University  
Central Tehran Branch,

---

## ABSTRACT

Let  $S$  be topological inverse semigroup and  $G_S$  be the topological maximal subgroup of  $S$ . Following Munn [12], we have  $\frac{S}{\rho} \simeq G_S$ . In this paper we characterize the universal  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactification  $S^{\mathcal{P}}$  of  $S$  relative to the universal  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactification  $G_S^{\mathcal{P}}$  of  $G_S$ . As a consequence, we give some interesting results as  $G_S^{sap} \simeq \frac{S^{sap}}{\hat{\rho}}$ .

**MSC(2000):** Primary 43A15 ; Secondary 43A60.

**KEYWORDS:** Semigroup compactification, Inverse semigroup, Universal  $\mathcal{P}$ - compactification, Congruence.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of semigroup compactification as a generalization of almost periodic compactification was initiated by Weil [16, 17]. Semigroup compactifications are extremely useful tools in characterizing function spaces on topological semigroups and in particular those spaces associated with semigroup compactifications; See [1, 4, 5, 8, 14, 15] for instance. A large class of semigroups which has been studied extensively from various points of view is inverse semigroups. The symmetries of a local nature, and applications of inverse semigroups crop up almost everywhere in mathematics-evidence of this is the number of related textbooks in analysis, geometry, topology, algebra, category theory, etc, [2, 6, 9, 10, 13]. These facts led to the motivation to study functions spaces of inverse semigroups.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce our notations. In Sections 3, we investigate to the compactification spaces of  $\frac{S}{\rho}$  where  $S$  is a topological inverse semigroup and then we characterize the spaces of functions of  $S$  relative to its maximal subgroup.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper all topological spaces will be assumed to be Hausdorff. We shall follow the terminology of [1, 3, 7]. For a semigroup  $S$ , the right translation  $\rho_s$  and the left translation  $\lambda_s$  on  $S$  are defined by  $\rho_t(s) = st = \lambda_s(t)$ ,  $(s, t \in S)$ . A semigroup  $S$ , equipped with a topology, is said to be right topological if all of the right translations are continuous, semitopological if all of the left and right translations are continuous. Suppose  $S$  is a semitopological semigroup and  $(\psi, X)$  is a semigroup compactification of  $S$  that is, is a compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup and  $\psi : S \rightarrow X$  is a continuous homomorphism such that  $\psi(S) = X$  and  $\psi(S) \subseteq \Lambda(X)$  where  $\Lambda(X) = \{t \in X : s \rightarrow ts : X \rightarrow X, \text{ is continuous}\}$  is the topological center of  $X$ .

We say that  $(\psi, X)$  has the left [right] joint continuity property if the mapping  $(s, x) \rightarrow \psi(s)x$  [ $(x, s) \rightarrow x\psi(s)$ ] is continuous. The space of all bounded continuous complex valued functions on  $S$  is denoted by  $C(S)$ . For  $f \in C(S)$  and  $s \in S$  the right (respectively, left) translation of  $f$  by  $s$  is the function  $R_sf = f \circ \rho_s$  (respectively,  $L_sf = f \circ \lambda_s$ ). A left translation invariant unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $C(S)$  (i.e.,  $L_sf \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ) is called  $m$ -admissible if the function  $s \rightarrow (T_\mu)(s) = \mu(L_s(f))$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mu \in S^{\mathcal{F}}$  (=the spectrum of  $\mathcal{F}$ ). If  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $m$ -admissible then  $S^{\mathcal{F}}$  under the multiplication  $\mu\nu = \mu \circ T_\nu$  ( $\mu, \nu \in S^{\mathcal{F}}$ ), furnished with the Gelfand topology is a compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup and it makes  $S^{\mathcal{F}}$  a compactification (called the  $\mathcal{F}$ -compactification) of  $S$ .

Let  $S'$  and  $S''$  be compactifications of  $S$ . Then  $S'$  is a factor of  $S''$  if the identity map on  $S$  has an extension  $\varphi : S'' \rightarrow S'$ . A compactification with a given property  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactification. A universal  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactification of  $S$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactification of which, every  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactification of  $S$  is a factor. Universal  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactifications, if they exist, are unique (up to isomorphism). We denote the universal  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactification of  $S$

by  $S^{\mathcal{P}}$ . If  $S$  is a semigroup, then by  $E(S)$  we denote the subset of idempotents of  $S$ . A semigroup  $S$  is called inverse if for any  $x \in S$  there exists a unique  $x^* \in S$  such that  $xx^*x = x$  and  $x^*xx^* = x^*$ . An element  $x^*$  of  $S$  is called inverse to  $x$  and is denoted by  $x^{-1}$ . If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, then the map which takes  $x \in S$  to the inverse element of  $x$  is called the inversion. A topological (semitopological) inverse semigroup is a topological (semitopological) semigroup  $S$  that is algebraically an inverse semigroup with continuous inversion. Obviously, any topological (inverse) semigroup is a semitopological (inverse) semigroup.

### 3 compactification of topological inverse semigroup

Let  $S$  be a inverse semigroup, for every  $s_1, s_2$  in  $S$ , define the relation  $\rho$  on  $S \times S$  by  $s_1 \rho s_2$  if and only if  $s_1 e = s_2 e$  for some  $e \in E(S)$ . Following Munn [12],  $\rho$  is a congruence on  $S \times S$  and  $\frac{S}{\rho} \simeq G_S$  where  $G_S$  is a maximal subgroup of  $S$ . In this section by using this method we consider the structure of the compactification spaces of topological inverse semigroup. We fix these notations for the rest of this section.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be a topological (compact) inverse semigroup with compact  $E(S)$ , then  $G_S \simeq \frac{S}{\rho}$  is a topological (compact) group.*

*Proof.* First, we show that  $\rho$  is closed, let  $\{x_\alpha\}$  and  $\{y_\alpha\}$  be nets in  $S$  such that  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ ,  $y_\alpha \rightarrow y$  and  $x_\alpha \rho y_\alpha$ . Then there exists  $\{e_\alpha\}$  in  $E(S)$  such that  $x_\alpha e_\alpha = y_\alpha e_\alpha$ . Compactness of  $E(S)$  allows us to assume that  $e_\alpha \rightarrow e$  for some  $e \in E(S)$  and by joint continuity of product of  $S$ ,  $x_\alpha e_\alpha \rightarrow xe$ ,  $y_\alpha e_\alpha \rightarrow ye$ . Therefore  $xe = ye$ , that is  $x \rho y$ . Now by proposition 1.3.8 [5],  $G_S$  is a topological (compact) group.

The first author in [16] have shown that if  $\Omega$  is an extension of  $G$  by  $S$ , i.e.  $\frac{\Omega}{G} \simeq S$  where  $G$  is a topological group and  $S = M^0(G, P)$  is completely 0-simple semigroup, then  $\frac{\Omega}{G} = \frac{\Omega}{\rho} \simeq S$  where  $\rho$  is suitable congruence on  $\Omega$ . Then it is shown that  $s^{ap} \simeq \frac{\Omega^{ap}}{G}$ ,  $s^{sap} \simeq \frac{\Omega^{sap}}{G}$  and  $s^p \simeq \frac{\Omega^p}{G}$  where  $p$  is a property of compactification. On the other hand  $G_S \simeq \frac{S}{\rho}$  where  $S$  is a topological inverse semigroup. In this setting there is a natural question' whether there is the similar results for topological inverse semigroup?

In the following theorems we will show that the analogues results are hold for topological inverse semigroups.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $S$  is a topological inverse semigroup with compact  $E(S)$ . Suppose  $G_S$  is the maximal subgroup of  $S$  and  $\tau = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \mid \exists e \in E(S), x_1 \psi(e) = x_2 \psi(e)\}$ . Then  $\frac{X}{\tau}$  is a topological group compactification of  $G_S$  where  $(\psi, X)$  is a topological group compactification of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* It is not far to see that  $\tau$  is a congruence. Suppose  $\{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\}$  is a net in  $\tau$  such that  $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \rightarrow (x, y)$ . Then for each  $\alpha$ , there exist  $e_\alpha \in E(S)$  such that  $x_\alpha \psi(e_\alpha) = y_\alpha \psi(e_\alpha)$ . Since  $E(S)$  is compact so there exists  $e \in E(S)$  such that  $e_\alpha \rightarrow e$ . Now  $x_\alpha \psi(e_\alpha) \rightarrow x \psi(e)$ ,  $y_\alpha \psi(e_\alpha) \rightarrow y \psi(e)$ . This implies that  $x \tau y$ . Thus  $\tau$  is closed congruence. Now Proposition 1.3.8 [5], shows that  $\frac{X}{\tau}$  is a compact Hausdorff topological group. It is clear that if  $s_1 \rho s_2$  ( $s_1, s_2 \in S$ ), then  $\psi(s_1) \tau \psi(s_2)$ . Thus  $\psi$  preserves congruence so there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\hat{\psi} : \frac{S}{\rho} \rightarrow \frac{X}{\tau}$  such that  $\hat{\psi} \circ \pi(S) = \hat{\psi} \circ \pi(S)$  where  $\pi : S \rightarrow \frac{S}{\rho}$ ,  $\hat{\pi} : X \rightarrow \frac{X}{\tau}$  are the natural quotient maps. Thus

$$\overline{\hat{\psi}(\frac{S}{\rho})} = \overline{\hat{\psi} \circ \pi(S)} = \overline{\hat{\pi} \circ \psi(S)} \supseteq \hat{\pi}(\overline{\psi(S)}) = \hat{\pi}(X) = \frac{X}{\tau}$$

and

$$\hat{\psi}(\frac{S}{\rho}) = \hat{\psi} \circ \pi(S) = \hat{\pi} \circ \psi(S) \subseteq \hat{\pi}(\Lambda(X)) = \Lambda(\hat{\pi}(X)) = \Lambda(\frac{X}{\tau})$$

This implies that  $\frac{X}{\tau}$  is a compactification of  $\frac{S}{\rho} \simeq G_S$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose  $S$  is a topological inverse semigroup with compact  $E(S)$  and  $G_S$  is the maximal subgroup of  $S$ . Let  $(\varepsilon_{G_S}, G_S^{sap})$  and  $(\varepsilon_S, S^{sap})$  be the strongly almost periodic compactifications of  $G_S$  and  $S$  respectively. Then  $G_S^{sap} \simeq \frac{S^{sap}}{\tau}$ .*

*Proof.* In Theorem 3.2 put  $X = S^{sap}$ , then  $(\varepsilon_S, \frac{S^{sap}}{\tau})$  is a topological group compactification of  $\frac{S}{\rho} \simeq G_S$ , where  $\tau = \{(x_1, x_2) \in S^{sap} \times S^{sap} \mid \exists e \in E(S), x_1 \varepsilon_S(e) = x_2 \varepsilon_S(e)\}$ . Now  $\hat{\varepsilon}_S : S \rightarrow \frac{S^{sap}}{\tau}$  and

$\varepsilon_{G_S} : S \rightarrow \frac{S^{sap}}{\rho} \simeq G_S^{sap}$  so the universal property of the  $sap$ -compactification  $(\varepsilon_{G_S}, G_S^{sap})$ , [Theorem 4.3.7, 1] shows that there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\theta : G_S^{sap} \rightarrow \frac{S^{sap}}{\tau}$  such that  $\theta \circ \varepsilon_{G_S}(s) = \hat{\varepsilon}_S(s) (s \in S)$ . Since  $\varepsilon_{G_S} \circ \pi : S \rightarrow G_S^{sap}$  is continuous homomorphism so the universal property of  $S^{sap}$  implies that there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\mu : S^{sap} \rightarrow G_S^{sap}$  such that  $\mu \circ \varepsilon_S(s) = \varepsilon_{G_S} \circ \pi(s) (s \in S)$ . We have  $\mu$  preserves congruence for if  $\hat{\sigma}_1 \tau \hat{\sigma}_2 (\hat{\sigma}_1, \hat{\sigma}_2 \in S^{sap})$  then we can choose nets  $\{u_\alpha\}, \{v_\alpha\}$  in  $S$  such that  $\lim_\alpha \varepsilon_S(u_\alpha) = \hat{\sigma}_1, \lim_\alpha \varepsilon_S(v_\alpha) = \hat{\sigma}_2$  and there exists  $e \in E(S)$  such that  $\hat{\sigma}_1 \varepsilon_S(e) = \hat{\sigma}_2 \varepsilon_S(e)$  and since  $S^{sap}$  is a topological group we have  $\hat{\sigma}_1 = \hat{\sigma}_2 \varepsilon_S(e) (\varepsilon_S(e))^{-1} = \hat{\sigma}_2 \varepsilon_S(e^2)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\hat{\sigma}_1) &= \mu(\hat{\sigma}_2 \varepsilon_S(e^2)) = \mu(\sigma_2) \mu(\varepsilon_S(e^2)) = \mu(\sigma_2) \varepsilon_{G_S} \circ \pi(e^2) \\ &= \mu(\hat{\sigma}_2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists continuous homomorphism  $\psi : \frac{S^{sap}}{\tau} \rightarrow G_S^{sap}$  such that  $\psi \circ \omega(p) = \mu(p) (p \in S^{sap})$  where  $\omega : S^{sap} \rightarrow \frac{S^{sap}}{\tau}$  is the quotient map. It remains to show that  $\theta : G_S^{sap} \rightarrow \frac{S^{sap}}{\tau}$  is isomorphism. Let  $\omega(t) \in \frac{S^{sap}}{\tau}$ , so there exists a net  $\{s_\alpha\}$  in  $S$  such that  $\lim_\alpha \varepsilon_S(s_\alpha) = t$ . By the above relations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \circ \psi(\omega(t)) &= \theta \circ \mu(t) = \lim_\alpha \theta \circ \mu(\varepsilon_S(s_\alpha)) = \lim_\alpha \theta \circ \varepsilon_{G_S} \circ \pi(s_\alpha) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \hat{\varepsilon}_S \circ \pi(s_\alpha) \lim_\alpha \omega(\varepsilon_S(s_\alpha)) = \omega(\lim_\alpha \varepsilon_S(s_\alpha)) = \omega(t). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

This implies that  $\theta \circ \psi = id_{\frac{S^{sap}}{\tau}}$ . By the similar calculation we can conclude that  $\psi \circ \theta = id_{G_S^{sap}}$ . Thus  $\theta$  is isomorphism  $G_S^{sap} \simeq \frac{S^{sap}}{\tau}$ .

By using the analogous method of Theorems 3.3 we can obtain the similar result in general.

**Corollary 3.4.** With the assumptions of the preceding theorem, let  $(\varepsilon_{G_S}, G_S^{\mathcal{P}}), (\varepsilon_S, S^{\mathcal{P}})$  be the universal  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactifications of  $G_S$  and  $S$ , respectively. Then  $G_S^{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \frac{S^{\mathcal{P}}}{\tau}$  if  $\mathcal{P}$  has joint continuity property.

## Conclusion

Characterization of the function spaces of topological semigroup was considered by many researchers, see [1, 4, 5, 15, 16] for example. On the other hand, It is known that  $\frac{S}{\rho} \simeq G_S$  where  $S$  is an inverse semigroup and  $G_S$  is a maximal subgroup  $S$  [12]. In this paper we showed that  $G_S$  is a topological group where  $S$  is a topological inverse semigroup and then by using the suitable congruence on the compactification space  $S$  we characterized the strongly almost periodic compactification and universal  $\mathcal{P}$ -compactification of  $S$  where  $\mathcal{P}$  is property of compactification.

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to sincerely thank the referee for his/her valuable comments and useful suggestions. Also, This research is supported by a grant of the research Council of the Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.F. Berglund, H.D. Junhenn and P. Milnes, 1989. Analysis on Semigroups: Functions spaces, Compactifications, Representations, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [2] A. Cannas da Silva, A. Weinstein, 1999. Lectures on Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras, Berkeley Math. Lect. 10, Amer. Math. Soc.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and J. B. Preston, 1961. The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups I, American Mathematical Society Surveys 7.
- [4] S. Ferri and D. Strauss, 2004. A note on the WAP-compactification and the LUC-compactification of a topological group, Semigroup Forum, pp. 87-101.
- [5] H. D. Junghenn, P. Milnes, 2002. Almost periodic compactifications of group extensions, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 52, No. 2, pp. 237-254.
- [6] P.J. Higgins, 1971. Categories and Groupoids, Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories. No. 7, pp. 1-195.

- [8] J.M. Howie, 1995. Fundamentals of semigroup theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [9] J.D. Lawson, 1992. Flows and compactifications, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 46, 349-363.
- [10] M.V. Lawson, 1998. Inverse Semigroups : The Theory of Partial Symmetries, World Sci.
- [11] K. Mackenzie, 1987. Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids in Differential Geometry, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. 124, Cambridge University Press.
- [12] D. Munn and R. Penrose, 1955. A note on inverse semigroups, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 51, 396-399
- [13] W. D. Munn, 1961. A class of irreducible matrix representations of an arbitrary inverse semigroup, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 5, 41-18.
- [14] A.L.T. Paterson, 1999. Groupoids, Inverse Semigroups, and their Operator Algebras, Birkhäuser.
- [15] H. Rahimi, 2011. Function spaces on tensor product of semigroups, Iranian Journal of Sci.Tech. A3, 223-228
- [16] H. Rahimi, 2012. Function spaces of Rees matrix semigroups, Bulletin of the Iranian MathSoc. Vol. 38 No. 1, pp 27-38
- [17] A. Weil, 1935. Sur les fonctions presque periodiques de von Neumann, C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris 200, 38-40.
- [18] A. Weil, 1937. Sur les espaces a structure uniforme et sur la topologie generale, Hermann, Paris.