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#### Abstract

One of the major issues and application in DEA is obtaining the most efficient decision making unit (DMU). In fact, decision makers are trying to consider the most efficient DMU in several models. Hence they can achieve most efficient unit of the existing units. In some cases, the data are indefinite and we have disposal their indefinite values so in this paper we are obtaining the most efficient unit when the data are interval. KEY WORDS: data envelopment analysis, most efficient decision making unit, interval data.


## 1-INTRODUCTION

Data envelopment analysis (DEA) invented by Charnes, Cooper and Rhodes (1987) [4]. It can determine the relative efficiency of the decision making units (DMU) with multiple inputs and outputs.

DEA performs effectiveness calculations to determine the efficient and inefficient units so efficiency for efficient DMUs is one and for inefficient DMUs is less than one.

In many DEA applications, inputs and outputs is used to specific and the definite phrase but sometimes in some other applications of DEA inputs and outputs are indefinite. As, in cases when data are interval, probabilistic and /or fuzzy, in this paper we will consider interval data and the purpose of this paper is obtaining most efficient decision-making units with using interval data.

Amin and Toloo (2007)[2] expressed integer DEA model for obtaining most efficient unit, as their model obtaining solution in two stages. In many cases, their proposed model could not produce a unique solution as the most efficient DMU. Then Toloo and Nalchegar (2009)[7] extended Amin \&Toloo's model(2007)[2] to VRS position and they presented DEA model to find most efficient DMU in BCC state[3], but it also include the same errors.

Moreover, Amin (2009) [1] has proposed an improved DEA model, which could not determine most efficient DMU uniquely. In fact Foroughi (2011)[5] reverse the Amin's model[1] with an example and so Foroughi presented the mixed integer linear programming for finding the most efficient DMU and the difference Foroughi's model with previous models is for to get to the solution by solving one model. But, his model could not get the exact solution as the most efficient unit.

So Ying and Jiang(2012) [8] presented mixed integer linear model for finding most efficient DMU that it is more complete than previous models and strategy for them model is finding the hyper plan that one DMU is one side hyper plan and the other DMUs are the other side of the hyper plan.

Also according to Rostamy et al's paper (2013)[6] discussed in subject most congest DMU, in this paper we present the most efficient DMU. Since in some actual situations the data may be indefinite and we also have interval data, in this paper we presented a method which is finding most efficient DMU when the inputs and outputs are in the interval state.
The construction of this paper is as follows:
In section 2, we express a summary of Ying and Jiang's model for obtaining most efficient DMU. Then in section 3, we present our method for obtaining most efficient DMU in interval data. In section 4 we analyze the data with two examples and in section 5 , you will have the conclusion.

## 2. Ying and Jiang's model for obtaining most efficient DMU:

Suppose there are $n$ DMUs to be evaluated in terms with $m$ inputs and s outputs. For obtaining most efficient DMU, Ying Ming and Peng Jiang model(1) [8] presented as called mixed integer linear programming (MILP) as follows:

[^0]Min

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{r j}\right) &  \tag{1}\\
\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r} y_{r j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i} x_{i j} \leq I_{j} & j=1, \ldots, n \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{j}=1 & \\
I_{j} \in\{0,1\} & j=1, \ldots, n \\
u_{r} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{y_{r j}\right\}} & r=1, \ldots, s \\
v_{i} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{x_{i j}\right\}} & i=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

$S t$

## 3. To obtain the most efficient DMU on the interval data

Suppose n DMUs are with input variables $X_{i j}\left(x_{1 j}, x_{2 j}, \ldots, x_{m j}\right)$ and output variables $Y_{r j}\left(y_{1 j}, y_{2 j}, \ldots, y_{s j}\right)$ for each $\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n} \quad$ that $\quad$ is $\quad X_{i j} \in\left[X_{i j}^{l}, X_{i j}^{u}\right] \& Y_{i j} \in\left[Y_{i j}^{l}, Y_{i j}^{u}\right]$ and $x_{i j}^{l}>0, x_{i j}^{u}>0, y_{i j}^{l}>0, y_{i j}^{u}>0$.
Let input and output values of any DMU be located in a certain interval, where $x_{i j}^{L}$ and $x_{i j}^{U}$ the lower and upper bounds of the $i$ th input of thejth DMU, respectively, and $y_{r j}^{L}$ and $y_{r j}^{U}$ are the lower and upper bounds of the $r$ th outputof the $j$ th DMU, respectively; that is to say, $x_{i j}^{L} \leq x_{i j} \leq x_{i j}^{U}$ and $y_{r j}^{L} \leq y_{r j} \leq y_{r j}^{U}$.
Such data are called interval data, because they are located in intervals. Note that always $x_{i j}^{L} \leq x_{i j}^{U}$ and $y_{r j}^{L} \leq y_{r j}^{U}$. If $x_{i j}^{L}=x_{i j}^{U}$ then the $i$ th input of the $j$ th DMU has a definite value. Interval problems are those whose parameter values are located in intervals, their exact values being unable to be identified.
So, we are finding the most efficient DMU in this case with model (1):
$e=\operatorname{Min} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[x_{i j}^{l}, x_{i j}^{u}\right]\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[y_{r j}^{l}, y_{j i}^{u}\right]\right)$
$S t$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[y_{r j}^{l}, y_{r j}^{u}\right]\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[x_{i j}^{l}, x_{i j}^{u}\right]\right) \leq I_{j} & j=1, \ldots, n \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{j}=1 & j=1, \ldots, n \\
I_{j} \in\{0,1\} & r=1, \ldots, s \\
u_{r} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{\left[y_{r j}^{l}, y_{r j}^{u}\right]\right\}} & i=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

Because the data are indefinite, so the optimal value of the objective function may be calculated as indefinite. To find interval efficiency, we introduce two models as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
e^{l}=\operatorname{Min} & \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}^{l}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{r j}^{u}\right) \\
\text { s.t } & j=1, \ldots, n \\
\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r} y_{r j}^{l}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i} x_{i j}^{u} \leq I_{j} & \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{j}=1 & j=1, \ldots, n \\
u_{r} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{y_{r j}^{u}\right\}} & r=1, \ldots, s \\
e^{u}=\operatorname{Min} & \\
\text { st } \begin{array}{ll}
(m+s) \max \left\{x_{i j}^{u}\right\} & \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}^{u}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{r j}^{l}\right) \\
\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r} y_{r j}^{u}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i} x_{i j}^{l} \leq I_{j} & j=1, \ldots, n \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{j}=1 & j=1, \ldots, n \\
I_{j} \in\{0,1\} & r=1, \ldots, s \\
u_{r} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{y_{r j}^{l}\right\}} & i=1, \ldots, m
\end{array} \\
v_{i} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{x_{i j}^{l}\right\}} &
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, we presented theorems that is determined an interval for the optimal value of the objective function of model (2).
Theorem 1: if $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}, I^{*}\right)$ is optimal solution of model (2) then $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}, I^{*}\right)$ is one feasible solution of model (3). Proof: because ( $\mathrm{u}^{*}, \mathrm{v}^{*}, \mathrm{I}^{*}$ ) optimal solution of model (2) so:

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*} y_{r j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*} x_{i j} \leq I_{j}^{*}
$$

We want to prove that $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}, I^{*}\right)$ satisfy to in the constraints of model (3) and is one feasible solution of model (3).
$\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*} y_{r j}^{l}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*} x_{i j}^{u} \leq \sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*} y_{r j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*} x_{i j} \leq I_{j}^{*}$
So
$\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*} y_{r j}^{l}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*} x_{i j}^{u} \leq I_{j}^{*}$

Then we have
$u_{r}^{*} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{y_{r j}\right\}} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{y_{r j}^{u}\right\}}$
So
$u_{r}^{*} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{y_{r j}^{u}\right\}}$
Also
$v_{i}^{*} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{x_{i j}\right\}} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{x_{i j}^{u}\right\}}$
So
$v_{i}^{*} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{x_{i j}^{u}\right\}}$
So far we have shown that $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}, I^{*}\right)$ is a feasible solution for model (3) thus:

$$
\begin{gathered}
e=\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{r j}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}^{l}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{r j}^{u}\right) \geq e^{l} \\
\Rightarrow e \geq e^{l}
\end{gathered}
$$

Complete the proof. $\square$
Theorem 2: if $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}, I^{*}\right)$ is optimal solution of model (4) then $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}, I^{*}\right)$ is one feasible solution of model (2).
Proof: because ( $\mathrm{u}^{*}, \mathrm{v}^{*}, \mathrm{I}^{*}$ ) optimal solution of model (4) so:
$\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*} y_{r j}^{u}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*} x_{i j}^{l} \leq I_{j}^{*}$
We want to prove that ( $\mathrm{u}^{*}, \mathrm{v}^{*}, \mathrm{I}^{*}$ ) satisfy to in the constraints of model (2) and is one feasible solution of model (2).
$\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*} y_{r j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*} x_{i j} \leq I_{j}^{*} \sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*} y_{r j}^{u}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*} x_{i j}^{l} \leq I_{j}^{*}$
So
$\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*} y_{r j}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*} x_{i j} \leq I_{j}^{*}$
Also
$u_{r}^{*} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{y_{r j}^{l}\right\}} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{y_{r_{j}}\right\}}$
$u_{r}^{*} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{y_{r j}\right\}}$
On the other hand
$v_{i}^{*} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{x_{i j}^{l}\right\}} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{x_{i j}\right\}}$
So
$v_{i}^{*} \geq \frac{1}{(m+s) \max \left\{x_{i j}\right\}}$
So far we have shown that ( $\mathrm{u}^{*}, \mathrm{v}^{*}, \mathrm{I}^{*}$ ) is a feasible solution model (2) thus:

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{u}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}^{u}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{r j}^{l}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_{i}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j}\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_{r}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{r j}\right) \geq e \\
\Rightarrow e^{u} \geq e
\end{gathered}
$$

Complete the proof.
Thus, according to theorems 1 and 2 we have shown $e^{l} \leq e \leq e^{u}$ that the distance most efficient DMU to the choice hyper plane is between values of $e^{l} \& e^{u}$.
We had two states to find the most efficient DMU:
State 1: $\mathrm{DMU}_{\mathrm{o}}$ In model (3) and model (4) is known as the most efficient unit then we introduce $\mathrm{DMU}_{\mathrm{o}}$ as the strongly most efficient DMU.
State 2: two different DMUs in models (3) and (4) are known as the most efficient unit in then according to the manager of strategy introduce related unit as the most efficient DMU.

## 4-Numerical example:

Example 1: evaluating the performance of 15 bank branches.
In this example, we evaluate 15 units with three inputs and five outputs that below is described and sets of data are shown in Tables 1 and 2.
Input 1: payable interest, Input 2: personnel, Input 3: non-performance loans.
Output 1: the total sum of four main deposits, Output 2: other deposits, Output 3: loans granted, Output 4: received interest, Output 5: fee.

Table 1: Inputs for bank

| $\mathrm{DMU}_{\mathbf{j}}$ | $x_{1 j}^{l}$ | $x_{1 j}^{u}$ | $x_{2 j}^{l}$ | $x_{2 j}^{u}$ | $x_{3 j}^{l}$ | $x_{3 j}^{u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1554.29 | 3427.89 | 20.47 | 21.59 | 412036 | 413902 |
| 2 | 2926.81 | 5961.55 | 18.8 | 20.16 | 9945 | 12120 |
| 3 | 8732.7 | 17752.5 | 25.74 | 27.17 | 47575 | 50013 |
| 4 | 945.93 | 1966.39 | 20.81 | 22.54 | 19292 | 19753 |
| 5 | 58.0038 | 11.87539 | 27.12 | 27.26 | 19.500 | 19.569 |
| 6 | 13759.35 | 27359.36 | 19.46 | 19.46 | 13929 | 15657 |
| 7 | 587.69 | 1205.47 | 27.29 | 27.48 | 27827 | 29005 |
| 8 | 4646.39 | 9559.61 | 24.52 | 25.07 | 9070 | 9983 |
| 9 | 1445.68 | 2922.15 | 28.96 | 28.96 | 31700 | 32061 |
| 10 | 175.2831 | 362.9754 | 14.84 | 15.05 | 8638 | 10.229 |
| 11 | 2444.34 | 4955.78 | 20.42 | 20.54 | 500 | 937 |
| 12 | 3039.58 | 63.0401 | 3.932 | 3.986 | 51.582 | 55.136 |
| 13 | 9852.15 | 19742.89 | 18.47 | 21.83 | 17163 | 17290 |
| 14 | 4540.75 | 9312.24 | 22.83 | 23.96 | 17918 | 17964 |
| 15 | 7303.27 | 14178.11 | 22.87 | 23.19 | 16148 | 21353 |

Table 2: Output for bank

| $\mathrm{DMU}_{\mathbf{j}}$ | $y_{1 j}^{l}$ | $y_{1 j}^{u}$ | $y_{2 j}^{l}$ | $y_{2 j}^{u}$ | $y_{3 j}^{l}$ | $y_{3 j}^{u}$ | $y_{4 j}^{l}$ | $y_{4 j}^{u}$ | $y_{5 j}^{l}$ | $y_{5 j}^{u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 335070 | 410427 | 337971 | 449336 | 1584722 | 1802942 | 176436.81 | 189006.12 | 560.26 | 2506.67 |
| 2 | 340377 | 440355 | 95978 | 117659 | 377309 | 390203 | 32396.65 | 37836.56 | 304.67 | 749.4 |
| 3 | 1027546 | 1061260 | 37911 | 503089 | 1233548 | 1822028 | 96842.33 | 108080.01 | 2285.03 | 3174 |
| 4 | 1145235 | 1213541 | 229646 | 268460 | 468520 | 542101 | 32362.8 | 39273.37 | 207.98 | 510.93 |
| 5 | 640890 | 679916 | 490508 | 684372 | 2946797 | 3985900 | 66097.16 | 95329.87 | 961.56 | 1914.25 |
| 6 | 988115 | 1087392 | 74133 | 111324 | 507502 | 574355 | 53591.3 | 72257.28 | 480.16 | 869.52 |
| 7 | 144906 | 165818 | 180530 | 180617 | 288513 | 323721 | 40507.97 | 45847.48 | 176.58 | 370.81 |
| 8 | 408163 | 416416 | 405396 | 486431 | 1044221 | 1071812 | 56260.09 | 73948.09 | 4654.71 | 5882.53 |
| 9 | 119948 | 120208 | 14943 | 17495 | 297674 | 308012 | 21991.53 | 27934.19 | 282.73 | 471.22 |
| 10 | 7008420 | 7685930 | 143780 | 151920 | 22907450 | 25735120 | 66272521 | 79146308 | 58.89 | 86860 |
| 11 | 641680 | 696338 | 114183 | 241081 | 1579961 | 2285079 | 17527.58 | 20773.91 | 1070.81 | 2283.08 |
| 12 | 2861490 | 3171860 | 1491830 | 2707080 | 7879590 | 8100880 | 10679863 | 1119623 | 1203790 | 4335240 |
| 13 | 553167 | 574989 | 21298 | 23043 | 425886 | 431815 | 45652.24 | 50255.75 | 438.43 | 836.82 |
| 14 | 309670 | 342598 | 20168 | 26172 | 124188 | 126930 | 8143.79 | 11948.04 | 936.62 | 1468.45 |
| 15 | 453170 | 481943 | 27196 | 29553 | 245726 | 275717 | 35757.83 | 42790.14 | 375.07 | 559.85 |

In above expressed, we mention inputs and outputs of example 1 in the tables 1 and 2 . Because data are interval then inputs and outputs values were shown as the lower and upper bounds in these tables.
Symbols exist in the tables are as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i j}^{L}: & i=1,2,3 \\
& j=1, \ldots, 15 \\
x_{i j}^{U}: & i=1,2,3 \\
& j=1, \ldots, 15 \\
y_{r j}^{L}: & i=1,2,3 \\
& j=1, \ldots, 15 \\
y_{r j}^{U}: & i=1,2,3 \\
& j=1, \ldots, 15
\end{aligned}
$$

However, to achieve most efficientDMU of 15 branch of the bank to resolving models (3) and (4) so we can find the most efficient unit in each model and then presented the most efficient DMU according to conclusions and manager strategy.

The results are as follows:
model(3):
$e^{l}=-1.4152167286$

$$
\left(I_{12}=1\right)
$$

Lower : $V(1)=0.00001209$, $V(2)=0.00431630, ~ V(3)=0.0000003$

$$
U(1)=0.000000, ~ U(2)=0.00000080, U(3)=-0.0000001, U(4)=0.00000003, U(5)=0.00000020
$$

model(4):
$e^{u}=1.35880326180 \quad\left(I_{12}=1\right)$
Upper $: V(1)=0.00000908, ~ V(2)=0.00431630, ~ V(3)=0.0000003$
$U(1)=0.00000007, ~ U(2)=0.00000008, ~ U(3)=0.000000, U(4)=0.00000000, U(5)=0.00000013$
As be seen in the 15 -branch in the example above, branch (12) is known as the most efficient unit in the model (3) and model (4).After considering the material presented in chapter (3), occur state 1 then we're introducing $\mathrm{DMU}_{12}$ a strongly most efficient unit because in both models is known as the most efficient DMU.
Example 2: we have considered 12 decisions units of two-inputs and three outputs that inputs and outputs are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3: inputs for Example 2

| DMU | $x_{1}^{l}$ | $x_{2}^{l}$ | $x_{1}^{u}$ | $x_{2}^{u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| 2 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 15 |
| 3 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 14 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 13 |
| 5 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 6 | 6 | 2 | 16 | 12 |
| 7 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 16 |
| 8 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 14 |
| 9 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 16 |
| 10 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 16 |
| 11 | 8 | 9 | 18 | 19 |
| 12 | 5 | 15 | 16 |  |

Table 4: Output for Example 2

| DMU | $y_{1}^{l}$ | $y_{2}^{l}$ | $y_{3}^{l}$ | $y_{1}^{u}$ | $y_{2}^{u}$ | $y_{3}^{u}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 3 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 14 | 17 |
| 4 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 17 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 6 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| 7 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 25 |
| 8 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 21 | 23 |
| 9 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 20 |
| 10 | 2 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 25 |
| 11 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 24 | 9 |  |
| 12 |  |  | 6 | 8 | 9 |  |

The results are as follows:
model (3) :
$e^{l}=37.352887$

$$
\left(I_{12}=1\right)
$$

Lower $: V(1)=1.00000, V(2)=0.010526$

$$
U(1)=0.010000, U(2)=0.008333, \quad \mathrm{U}(3)=0.007692
$$

model (4):

$$
e^{u}=40.289553 \quad\left(I_{6}=1\right)
$$

Upper $: V(1)=0.025, V(2)=0.241$
$U(1)=0.020, ~ U(2)=0.014, ~ U(3)=0.013$

In this example, most efficient DMU in the model (3) and (4) are different and one DMU is not known as the most efficient unit of both models and as you see, $\mathrm{DMU}_{12}$ is known as the most efficient unit with solving model (3) and $\mathrm{DMU}_{6}$ is known as the most efficient unit with solving model (4).In this case, the manager can presented according to the conditions and related strategy one of them as most efficient DMU.

## 5-CONCLUSIONS

An applications of DEA is obtain most efficient decision-making unit that in this paper, we obtain the most efficient unit when the data are indefinite. We could to achieve this goal and obtained most efficient unit when the data are interval. In this case, we must to solve two models and most efficient unit obtained in both models and the most efficient unit is selected according to the conditions. As we have seen, the probability is that a DMU in two model is known as most efficient unit such as Example 1, the branch 12 (DMU12) is known as the most efficient unit in of both models and it can be introduced as strongly most efficient DMU. Also the probability is that a DMU in a model and another DMU on another model are known as the most efficient DMU such as example 2 in which case the unit is known as the most efficient unit according to manager strategy.
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