

Reasons the Formation of Political Violence in the Middle East Countries

Dr. Majid Masomi

Department of Political Science, Baft Branch, Islamic Azad University, Baft, Iran

Received: June 19, 2014

Accepted: September 4, 2014

ABSTRACT

Since the phenomenon of terrorism in the today's world, especially in the Middle East is one of the important and effective issues on various issues, including national security. In current essay, we try to be studied and explored one of the dimensions and important areas of interaction between terrorism, national security and political development in the Third World countries in general and in particular in Iran. The result of this study shows that Terrorism in the today's world has become a strategic phenomenon and it is used by political groups and governments to achieve their goals as well as affecting national security of countries. Political violence inherent in the phenomenon follow strategies based on three operational phase (Creating a mental breakdown due to the fear of the people, encourage the regime of responding and Transfer legitimacy) and manage and implemented the mutual and interaction spatial with people and with political system in order to achieve their political objectives. The research methodology used in this study was cause method that is done by using the dominant positivist thinking and methodology of library.

KEYWORDS: Political violence; security; national security; terror; terrorism; political development

INTRODUCTION

In today's world, complex phenomenon of terrorism and organized political violence is one of the main effective factors in threat of national security of countries that posed as a threatening factors in national security of countries but this phenomenon is associated with the concept of national security in today's world of globalization have influenced changes have been fundamental changes. Of course, both the terrorism and national security concept, like many other concepts in the humanities and political areas don't have single definition and acceptable to all. The functional domains of these two phenomena are not solely the domain of outer and inner areas but it certainly can be said that terrorism and national security are effective in both internal and external dimensions at least in today's world. Of course, in this study is concentrated and emphasized on national and international dimensions of national security. For this reason that today, national security is threatened from external factors rather than internal factors such as threatened organized political violence that will be threatened the direct result of factors such as lack of political development, ethnic rifts and policies and specific functions of government security policy such as limitation and interactive channel blocker between the sovereign and the people. For this reason, these countries should concentrate on these issues to develop its national security. Therefore, in current essay try to answer this question whether are there any relationship between political violence and political development and between the two and national security?

The basic concepts of research

Organized political violence and terrorism is a complex and multidimensional phenomenon that was effective as a critical factor in the most issues including national security. The national security issue (as the dependent variable of current essay) is reviewed and evaluated based on traditional and classical approach and the more as an external subject and in connection with foreign policy but in this research further concentrate on internal and national dimensions of this concepts. Third variable of essay is also the political development. In fact, the purpose is to demonstrate the relationship between terrorism, political development process, national security in the context and social context of Third World countries. This means that there is a interaction area and direct relationship between these three variable, means, increase rate of political development process increase the national security cause to decrease terrorist activities and on the other hand failure in political development process will due to creation, or extended the terrorist movements. Any neglect or lack of political development, while worsening climate of terrorist movements tend to provide national security grounds. In other words, the main threat to the national security of developing countries, including Iran, not from outside but from within these communities.

Therefore, the hypothesis is considered: it seems that national security, political development and terrorism drew a triangle in a way that expanding the political development due to increase national security coefficient and consequently reduce the mobility of terrorists.

*Corresponding Author: Dr Majid Masomi, Department of Political Science, Baft Branch, Islamic Azad University, Baft, Iran

1. In fact the purpose and objective of political development is providing the area and necessary context in the field of political communication. The context that explain the area and relation between societies on the basis of 6 factors: 1. Acquisitions and competition authority finding legal frameworks and political compromise. 2. Free citizen in participation and political competition. 3. Establish dispute settlement mechanisms are institutionalized within the political structure. 4. Creed, political decentralization and competition policy in order to strengthen political stability. 5. The detection and classification of political groups and social forces. 6. Elimination of Violence from political life (Bashirieh, 2001: 15).

If we accept the point that terrorism is an important and effective threat on national security in today's societies including Islamic Iran, we should recognize the phenomenon of terrorism before any analysis to identify the way of influence, reviewed and refined the elements and it's component and then deal with the way of influence on national security based on this knowledge.

Political violence strategy based on terrorism and their relations with political development

The general belief knows terrorism as a blind and violent movement, an explosion, homicide or suicide movement, but considering the definition of terrorism in this essay (terrorism is the use of extreme fear for achieve political goals) terrorism is in fact a strategy, a campaign process and freighting style that begins from a point (fear) as a result terrorism is absolutely and reasonable phenomenon with a strategy in the organized formed violence in Middle East countries that has two sides of the political and military aspect ,the military aspect (violent action) in fact is a start of this strategy and then terrorism strategy will continue with political instigations. As a result we should never be viewed terrorism as an act of armed because of intimidation and armed terrorist is a start of fighting terrorism and after that the other terrorist acts and war started for win the battle that begins, therefore we should also be noted to this point for combat this form of political violence and it merely not looking the prevent military and armed terrorist acts (Clusewitz, 1984: 87).

Terrorism is a strategic and ongoing activity that relies on its own set of variables. Some of these variables are from the weakness of operational and social weakness that it has emerged the terrorist movements. For example, a society that is deficient in the process of political development have the biggest disadvantage against political violence and terrorist movements because the lack of indexes of development factors will eliminate the correlation national factors especially in societies in transition and will due to that not possible to review and assess the government's critics and therefore the administration and government can't cover their weakness and ultimately the lack of critical space will end to the create a society in monologue and this subject intensify the lack of aware from weakness and consequently all such cases provided the space for terrorist groups that enlarge the weakness, rely on and exploit it for their own purposes. Lack of political development will led to many regimes in developing countries of high coefficient military security , security and criticism against the slightest criticism show, vulnerable and fragile (Ziba kalam, 2000: 302).

Political and terrorist groups are looking to obtain the desired reaction from government by planning on government's weakness, in other words they try to forcing the government to conduct extrajudicial measures, break dignity and privacy law and armed action after carrying out a terrorist act and of course the violence in this planning have pivotal role. It is true that the violence done by terrorist groups but they are waiting for the government to respond to violence with more violence and exit from the path of justice and the law. This reaction will prepare opportunity and area for terrorist groups. Their efforts are based on the fact that received the appropriate response from the government. It means that force government to take their action. Basically, there are two asymmetrical fronts, one side of terrorist are weak and other side is government that is strong. In acts of terrorism what that means to reach the goal of creating a cross-violence is absolutely wonderful and terrible fear (Of course, in this action, violence and fear resulting from that is not objective, but it is a means for achieve the goal). Communist Chinese revolutionary leader Mao Tsetong believed that Model and pattern of guerrilla warfare is based on three stages, the first stage, guerrilla war is defensive, and secondly, the strengthening and consolidation stage and the final stage, it is named the expansion stage (Mao, 1963: 97).

If guerrilla warfare and terrorist movements similar to each other, then we can say that terrorism also has three steps to reach the goal and win. The first stage, the stage of mental turmoil or alienation. At this stage terrorist group tries to eliminate the government's authority by gradual decrease the government influence over the people, the main tool and factor of creating this mindset and mental turmoil is creating fear and apprehension by armed violence action. With this action, terrorist groups trying to convince them by increasing climate of insecurity and creating confusion in the public that the government is unable to control the situation and could not or would not deal with social crises, thereby creating a gap between the people and the sovereign.

The second stage can be called a targeted response, at this stage, and after the first (i.e. the creation of a terrorist act) the purpose and strategy of terrorist groups is to force the government to take inappropriate reactions, it means that the government is trying to force the reaction to his comments, at this stage of terrorist actions and strategies related to government's errors in the first and second movements of terrorist, at this stage, the role and importance of political structures will reflect the development process.

Third stage of terrorism strategy is the attainment of the legitimate objective of this stage is the application of emotional and feelings judgment of people in response to the first and second stages. It means that the purpose of this phase is using emotional impact of violence in order to bring about a political message so that it is transported to the terrorist groups by the loss of state legitimacy, at this stage, the mass media's have critical and essential role in spreading the message and transfers legitimacy from government to terrorist groups, in other words, at this stage of terrorist acts following the first and second stages, The masses will try to eliminate the legitimacy of the state by using judged subjectively (Neumann, 2008: 52).

As mentioned above, the first step of the terrorist movement in any society is the creation of a mental turmoil and the reasons of creation this mental and mind turmoil is the armed, violence and rebellion terror actions and lead their to the sort of mental alienation and mental quality. In fact, the fear factor will have the same performance that it is mentioned common to all definitions of terrorism, terrorist armed action must be able to create fear and apprehension in the minds of masses or government officials, so the armed action is not very important but also the ability to create suspense and fear is effective that naturally in any society, environment and operating time can be varied or horrifying, depending on the social and political conditions of society change.

Political system especially in the Middle East that is still not fully developed must be able to move towards strengthening the foundations of their authority that is permanent protection of the peoples. The most important principle in political life continued is support of the masses in all conditions, especially in times of crisis and terrorist challenges and they do this in a sustainable way and will ensure a systematic and not randomized is the policy development process, political system must be able and know how to prevent from change people's fidelity and how use the loyalty of its people, especially the middle class, the regime should know and be able to answer this question as well as that how people obey the laws and commands of a government and use the capacity. However, in most cases, people normally hate radical changes, even the most closed governments and reluctant, most people looking for peace and quiet and carefree life in space and are less willing to concede to them the dangers of radical and social insecurity. Thornton more than four centuries ago believed that the amount of loss of loyalty to the ruling power is proportional to the reduction and control of government authority (Thornton, 1964: 74).

This theory shows the existence of strong relationship between people and their state, the volition of the majority knows government as a symbol of continuity and stability in the community, because the interactions and social relations should be continued in any way and the government is the manager of this interaction and coherence. But what is important is that in this event and changes eliminate supporting structures and social freedom would not like the rule of law and security of assembly. Based on what Thornton said, it can be concluded that the first, most important and most immediate target for terrorists and insurgent groups is that provide stability and social security authority by a broad sense of heightened fear and terror to be changed in a way that the masses of the center are unable to recognize the source of the fear and provided the other chance and opportunity for creating correlation structure of masses and prioritize their values and preferences.

Perhaps this process seems like dream and exaggerated but can be take control the various social examples tangible and concrete. For example, in July 2004 a bomb was detonated by terrorist at a police station in Iraq. First the Americans were wrongly blamed for the bombing but it quickly became clear that the bombings is done to by terrorist groups opposed to the Iraqi government and in order to avoid joining people to the Iraqi army, but despite the declared and even people who knew that done by American bombing but people were not willing to accept this issue and this subject quickly was converted into the message that Americans are not able to control security in Iraq, it means that people believe things that they like to believe (Neumann, 2008: 35).

Another example is about the Algerian Liberation Front. In May 1957 members of the Algerian Liberation Freedom Front were killed about 300 people of a village near the Meloza town for supporting nationalist groups that allied with the French troops and when the details of the massacre released, Algerian Liberation Front refused to accept responsibility and it denied and announced that the French government's attempt to destroy their credibility and has been attributed to their. Although they knew the Algerian massacre was carried out by the Liberation Front but because the French Army and the Algerian government is believed that should be cruel to the people of Algerian and the people did not have a happy heart from France, despite that they know the argument liberation Front is a lie but they accepted (Ibid: 25).

These examples show that the target of terrorist groups is dominate the hearts of the people and terrorist acts or acts of violence done in order to upset the space for confuse the minds of men and ultimately reduce the authority of the ruling regime and elimination the legitimacy, however the kind of act of violence will not be identified and is not predictable.

Create legitimate targets for terror and violent movements

Another issues rose in political violence is creating legitimate targets for terror and violence. Political groups done the armed operations for achieve power and creating fear and panic. At the same time, try to

distinguish between unreasonable and unfair violence and legitimate purposes. In most political groups as legitimate targets identified included those cases that will be considered as the embodiment and symbol.

For example, the terrors that were carried out by the People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran and was observed the ordinary people such as grocery, grocer, local tradesman ... and also there was the terror of the country's officials, university professors, journalists and all segments of society in general, so the only their common feature was fans and the support system. Or that Al Qaeda leader Osama bin Laden in the definition of legitimate targets for assassination team determines a very wide range and said: all those taxes to the United States are our goals for assassinate (Numann, 2008: 37).

The terrorist group with terrorist attacks against authorities and bureaucracy to entangle the mental turmoil and insecurity feeling in a very large population and people groups, but if the intended targets for attack are specific to a particular group the first is the probability of strength and security, and oppression by the government will be much more likely and more effective, and secondly, the fear and panic domain will also have closed and other social sectors will feel safe. As a result, should say that one of the major terrorist groups in the design and management of terrorist actions is a wide range of assassination targets domain in the community and among the public. As a result, success is primarily dependent on the target of terrorist attacks, the political transition and accurate understanding of the true purpose of the invasion. It seems that at this stage terrorists are exclude and frustrate of reaching their goals because of high degree of political legitimacy and accepted the defense of the values of political sovereignty.

Political violence, terrorism, government and political development

In fact, the success or failure index (for both sides) is the level of political legitimacy. Certainly, when the legitimacy of the political system is revealed and yet the politicians do not pay attention to this subject or do not take any action for increasing the level of political legitimacy of any reason terrorist groups much easier and easier to be able to achieve their desired goals and even expand the idea that opposing forces (terrorist groups) are better able to bring security, peace and establish their political rights. One thing is certain is that all devices that can reduce or increase the legitimacy of the political system can be helped or hindered this condition, for example, the failure of the government in political development, failure to curb corruption, economic problems, feelings of injustice and discrimination, improper distribution of political and can be a catalyst or inspiration for people from government's supporter and their enter to the dissident government that is the target of terrorists. So the legitimacy of political system will be consolidated by political development, but opposition political groups hope to attract the people's opinion and support masses of people in terrorist's strategic process or the third stages that they do for this hope and precisely the point at which the government and the ruling system should pay attention is this issue and is not the facilities and equipment or the dominant power. Terrorist groups do not rely on physical facilities and their tools, so they also can not deal with the harsh repression, and relying on physical device. It is impossible for government to prevent from their actions like assassinations and bombings and no system of even the most developed political systems will not be able to do it, the way to deal with terrorism is dominate the hearts and minds of the people. So the first and most important goal of security regime to combat terrorism is security, but security will not be achieved merely through physical action, and shall be tried by creating mental security and strengthen power and intellectual potential of the masses. They have been achieved the important part of their objectives, If terrorists can divert the mind of the terrorist from the reason of terrorist incidents, it means that people do not understand the real reason for terrorist action and this is achieved when the ruling regime is wrong in response to terrorist attacks and provide responses that was the violent unlawful, illegal or in any way outside of the norm and the accepted norms of society, so will happen some of the confusion and distraction in explain and recognize the reasons of accident in society and among people. In fact, the harsh, cruel and dishonest actions of terrorists should not cause that government refer to false actions or take revenge in response to the actions. Even the political system should prevent from sentimental and emotional reactions of people who may be doing unconscious or spontaneously in response to terrorist attacks.

So the wit and wisdom of government intelligence in response to terrorist acts, and that the political actions of terrorists don't loss his spirit, they explained by training of police and security forces, however, the domain of terrorist acts exit from everyday life of people and prevent the diffusion of this idea that government is unable to control the situation by rapid return to normal conditions. On the other hand, this actions and occasionally mistakes that are made in response to the rioter's actions can be considered a suitable excuse for media militant groups. The effectiveness increase by making media for that domain and spread confusion among the people.

Extreme reaction in response to the terrorist acts is what they want overreaction like curfew, search people, homes or cars, close roads, and widespread indiscriminate arrests, unlawful interrogations, executions and add the arbitrary administration of the country will be hard for ordinary people and will cause people to distance from government, it is important for anti-government groups that people are attracted fans but the gain public support is not achieved by stimulate, fear and panic but the hope is that the imposition of such attacks to the system and consequently the opportunity to obtain government agents waiting overreaction. This is an

opportunity to convince people that government officials are responsible for this situation and bring it (not really a terrorist group who are behind these events).

In this space, another factor that has exacerbated the situation is the fact that the government and the ruling system of the space goes to the police, the military and police presence on the streets shows that society enter the critical step and with the arrival of the society to the critical situation can go toward irritating people and expand this subject that community is incapable from managing community issues in normal form and repression the opponents. In other words, changing political situation of society to the military and police situation that cause to the security forces in the country will become more visible and the presence of security forces will intensify this think that they have come to identify and arrest people (Marighia, 1969: 43).

Political systems are usually can show three types of reaction against the violence movement of political groups, the first type of approach is radical and violent. These states are prepared to insist on the fact that all those who challenge the current and immediately and urgently needs to be suppressed. Therefore, they allow themselves that use of any method for eliminates the challenges that affect to their authority.

The second type of state reaction against terrorist movements is strain and express acceptance of the political groups demands in extremist that show the weakness of the government in responding to the demands of the people and also the weakness in response to the terrorist attacks of political parties. Because the government thinks that the lack of public interest and inefficient policies is reason of terrorist movements, policies of the government in ending the terrorist movements and unlawful use of violence, deal with public complaints in legal ways especially, if these dictatorships systems of government's board to recognize that excessive force is not answer. It cause that government deal with kind of delayed sense of duty and wants desperately seeking legitimacy and the exercise of their right to return to the circuit and tries to perform these actions on the propaganda, from a peak of preventing terrorist movements and effect on kind of behavior and decision-making of opposed groups.

A suitable example of this behavior is related to late-life Pahlavi regime. At this time, the second half of the 1977 in the implementation of a television program MR Shah said: I heard the voice of the Iranian people and I promise you that behave according to probation constitution from now...and I can't be unaware from the voice of revolution as the king of the Iran and Mohammad Reza Shah with these words also promised to behave in accordance with law and try to assistance in dealing with the revolution comes, usually decrease power and gradual decline of the authority is the problem of most undemocratic societies, even democratic societies, especially in societies that political participation and lack of political right, Liberal-minded appear as terrorist opposition by the reason of lack of legal ways and feel the need of social equality, forming the legal forms of political behavior that usually be achieved with security challenges. While many people in these communities with the development and formation of terrorist movements tend to forming security, however, academic elites, political and media tend to be higher than the level of political rights and freedoms, they will be hate and violence severely by suppression and hard behavior of government and allow people to join, or at least increases the supporters of terrorist groups, usually those who are limited in their social and political freedom are more inclined to show violent groups. Barry believes that the most important reason government have for prevented repressive policies is fear of alliances and coalitions between extremists and the reformists. The government thinks that they still have not lost their capacity to attract popular support. (Neumann, 2008: 42).

In this context, some have different opinions and are essentially opposed to any negotiations with opposition groups including Robert Taber believes that any amendment and negotiation with rebels is as a means surrendering to them and lead to a higher score in the future. He believes that government is the only legitimate reference and element of creating stability social situation and is the only establishing security in the community (Taber, 1970:24).

In fact, this thinking holds that if the government with full authority and power do not be suppress the opposition and terrorist groups, it will not caused that terrorists seek to terror trade and have intend to have privileges of the political system with this game, it means that negotiation will be the background of score and score will be the background of authority and their result will be in interest of terrorist groups and to the detriment of the state. As one of the leaders of Hamas in telephone conversations were tapped by the Mossad, has said: Let do terror business and then try to destroy Israel (Neumann, 2008: 45).

As a result, some believes that Politicians will become more arrogant and violent terrorist groups and all groups had also come to the scene even groups that do not practice violence or mere thought of supporting terrorist groups and to form a larger coalition formed against the ruling system and those who might not believe in the movements of terrorist violence, it is hoped that achieve the desired political change and reform by violence operation.

In response, we can say that this problem lies in the government's response to the terrorist movement means there's repressive policies. In fact, if the government decides to crack down terrorist movements, they should be able to do it completely, i.e. not only those directly involved in the movement of terrorist presence but even suppress their supporters, also follow the close opposition newspapers, prevent from political protests and arrest, imprison and kill political representatives. As a result there is a risk in this procedure that if repressive

policies were not complete and or not fully implemented, will spread proponents of reform. Inability of the state in suppression (with law rates) will cause that opponents to expand your members together and increase their power and will look for more violent terrorist solutions. Failure in suppress of opponents will cause to radicalization opponents and government's action will be forced them to union forces thus unleashed a terror campaign (in terms of force operations) will be wider and stronger. But there is a third solution that deepening policy and open orderly political participation will cause to increase legitimacy and public support for the policies of the government and ruling government. Indisputable history has shown that repressive policies did not solve the problem but may be is cross housing but after a while, the game will start again because there is no possibility to repress all dissent tolerance policy in times of crisis, thus, should thinking about only a third way, it means that it was lawful and orderly the political participation and the way of presence in political power.

The suitable example for this situation in Iran's history is second Pahlavi era. MR Shah began to crack down on opposition, arrests, imprisonment, and execution them by relying on Savak's power (Tahiri, 1986: 78). The king thought that they will withdraw by a heart attack opponents and show the power or at least makes people passive and neutralizing the opposition but this did not happen and gradually reformers and revolutionaries joined the ranks of the fighters tolerance and compromise with the king abandoned and in February, 1979 Islamic revolution won by millions of advocates. The third stage of planning a terrorist movement is gaining legitimacy. The third stage is the most important factor in the transmission and dissemination of messages to political groups and the masses of the people that can be done in different ways and of course at this stage, the mass media play an important role. So even if the terrorist groups win in the first and second stage victory also it is not means of their complete win because the third stage is that it can successfully complement or contrast makes them fail. As a result the planned terrorist operations have three distinct level and complementary, on the other hand, the government's success against terrorist planning and victory against disturbances and restore security to the population at the national level requires the ability to create opportunity for the masses is acceptable and legitimate that can manifested their support from reinventing government and are secured his presence in support of the political system. This step is the most challenging stage of the political system against terrorist attacks and systems that can recapture the lost security will have the ability to deal with terrorist action. Maintain and ensure of this process is only possible when the people have the ability of strength and durability, power and proficiency on determination and your thinking against the invasion of terrorist messages of terrorists and can overcome the confusion and mental turmoil but if people cannot resist gradually moving towards supporting the ruling is carried out by terrorist groups. So, this space is the full interactive space and political environment that systematically depend on management and policy power and mutually deserved. Therefore, one of the most crucial points to oppose the planned terrorist is that system prior to planned terrorist activity and its national security strategy based on intellectual excellence can be adjusted masses. Terrorist groups rely on the system's weaknesses, weakness that is effective. As it was said the main role in the fight with terrorist movements is people and legitimacy system and no terrorist planning will not able against the planning of system as long as people know the legitimate ruler and it seems that the most important element in creating legitimacy for the political system is the development process of political development based on accepted values that will able to inject the unifying element and legitimacy to the national security. On the other hand, one of the main elements in the view of terrorist groups is their effect on reduced the legitimacy, publish and translate the specific political messages that generally it is based on weaknesses of the system, provided, and published by the mass media and then tries to mobilize people to support these messages. In fact, the terrorist strategy is kind of relation with aims to transfer political messages by using violence. In terrorist strategy, armed terrorist action is not aim but it is a tool, Political groups express and pose his political message by using terrorist activities and then transfer it in many ways and develop them. Today terrorist groups publish their opinion through satellite channels, news sites, internet, and private network and also through traditional ways, press and other legal mental. The best way to deal is disregarding devices and instead is relying on thinking and should dominate the thinking and beliefs of the people and the masses, until the truth, accuracy and virtuous have control on that, it never prevail crush and always will remain legitimate.

These devices can quickly broadcast the Inquiries and requests of political messages to the entire community and mobilize the masses around this message means that the most important thing you can do to reduce the legitimacy level of the political system is the attract intellectual support of the people. In contrast, there is the different ways to mobilize popular support of political system and people in order to deal with political movements of terrorist groups that one of them could be established political parties. It is obvious that political parties are suitable communication channels between the ruling and the people and can be channeled desires, thoughts and opinions of the people and bring them into a completely systematic and orderly form (Kazemi, 2000: 447). So the act of political parties is indirectly by enhancing the development process involving political stability and national security. The parties are able to coordinate the same beliefs and to reduce an individual difference, which resulted in the unification of the same ideas but is dispersed. This act prevents society from social and political turbulence and routes them to the proper leads (Dovorjhe, 1978: 397).

On the other hand, the modes of communication in the political media networks can be not political at first and deal with that by various ways to attract people and young people, these networks can involve people in many non-political activities and make them up like participate in charitable activities, economic and financial activities, leisure clubs and etc and finally they will be able to broadcast messages by this and also by attract popularity.

So the most advantageous situation for terrorist groups is that substituted beliefs and ideology (which belong to them) have opportunity to spread in the community especially in times of political upheaval, introduction of political agendas, the introduction of alternative beliefs and will stimulate people who may be disappointed for achieve their desires in legal ways that achieve their desired through political upheaval. In the mean time, if political messages of terrorist groups support the sources such as the expansion of political rights and political freedoms, it will be harder to deal with. As we were told, terrorist attacks in the third stage will be drawn into the political mainstream areas. Violence is not the purpose of terrorism but is the beginning way of terrorism, it is a devise for bring the community and the public to judge the legitimacy of the space, creating an environment where people are receptive to fight against the current status. So the terrorist violence will not be the guarantee success for terrorists and terrorist like any group or political party require that have channels for spread their political messages and should provide and prepared this channels. Transfer message is for attract more social contacts, in this stage political activities will occur and less violent terrorist acts will occur. In this stage, political message used for attract audiences, evaluation of judging people and ultimately judge between actions of the government and terrorists in order to transfer the legitimacy of the government to political groups. So the terrorist groups in their efforts not only regarding to the material and political resources of the people but should have the ability to adapt and change this demands with their beliefs and goals and also management inquiry into the legitimacy political message that is supersedes the legitimacy of the system. Therefore, in total of terrorist groups should not only be experts in creating violence but should also are experts in exploiting political movements and emotions and can control political movement and guide them toward deal with government. It seems that terrorist groups must be able to managed simultaneously a complex set of physical and psychological processes for achieve success. As a result, political of regime and opponents of terrorist movements should be expert and manager, encounter with terrorist provocation should be design and used in metropolis and today's National Security strategy. As can be seen management is very difficult terror campaign process and requires appropriate factors that at least one of them to have a plan of action and uniformity of the creation, and second are creating, expansion and deepening of the process of political development in complete and stable.

Conclusion

In fact, terrorist is a strategy and a way of fighting that begins from a point (fear) and finally will end to achieve the intended purpose (to power); terrorism is an ongoing and strategic activity that relies on its own set of specific variables. Terrorists rely on weakness of society and state policies and of course a society that is deficient in the process of political development is the greatest disadvantage against terrorist movements. Moreover, the fact that lack of political development process is not aware the politicians about confronting with his weaknesses. Stated that strategic terrorism has three stages first stage of creating alienation or mental turmoil of society. The main tool of creation this mental turmoil is creating fear by armed violence act.

A second stage is targeted reply, at this stage; the aim of terrorism is to force the government to respond to inappropriate and wrong. A political development indicator shows them in this stage. The third stage is the stage of achieving the legitimate; aim of this stage is application of people's emotional judge in response to the first and second step. So that the loss of legitimacy system transported it into the terrorist groups, at this stage the mass media play an essential and sensitive role. So it should be noted that although the primary cause of terrorism strategy is a military, but what it will take to succeed is a political action. It means that the first, most important and most urgent goal of the terrorists is to changed model of social system by separation of the administration. The result of this situation was creates an fully interactive environment that their outcome depends on the mode of operation and the ability of the parties and in particular the legitimacy of the political system in business excellence, political system should have responded to the demands and require of peoples for having public support and gain legitimacy and have been successful to established interaction between themselves and the people. The expanding and broaden of six structures of political development based on Islamic-cultural factors in Islamic society is what this interaction environment can be established among state and society.

REFERENCES

1. Akhvan Kazemi, Bahram. (2000) (public security and national unity partisanship, political stability and security), (First edition, Tehran, Deputy Interior Security).
2. Bashiriyeh, Hussein. (2001) Obstacles of political developments in Iran (First edition, Tehran, New step).
3. Group of authors, (2001) Public Security and National Unity, (First edition, Tehran, Deputy Interior Security).
4. Dovorjeh, Maurice (1978) Political parties, Translation: Reza Alavi (Third edition, Tehran, Amir Kabir).
5. Ziba Kalam, Sadegh. (2000) Public Security and National Unity (Political development requirements of national security) (First edition, Tehran: Deputy Interior Security).
6. Clausewitz, Carl Fan: (1984) on war (Translate: Michael, Haward and peter paret, Princeton NJ, Princeton University press).
7. Hoffman. Bruce. (2006) Inside Terrorism (New York: Colombia University press).
8. Mao, Tse- Tong (1963): Selected military writing (Peking: Foreign Language Press).
9. Nuemann. R. Peter and smith. ML. R (2008). The strategy of terrorism (How it works and it fails) (London .u. k. routeledg).
10. Taber, Robert, (1970).War of the flea (London: Plaladin).
11. Tahiri, Amir, (1986) The spirit of Allah, Khomeini and the Islamic Revolution. (London, Hutchinson
12. Thornton. T.P, (1964) Terror as a weapon of political Agitation Harry Eckstein(ed) Internal war: problems and approaches (New York: Free press).
13. www.Marixsts.Org/archive/marighella-carlos/1969/06/minimanual-urban-guerrila/index.htm