

© 2015, TextRoad Publication

# Investigation of Influence of Crack Propagation on Static and Dynamic Behavior of Concrete Dams using Extended Finite Element Method due to Water Level Fluctuation during Earthquake

# Mir Ahmad Lashteh Neshaei<sup>1</sup> and Morteza Khomami Abadi<sup>2</sup> and Rasoul Vahedzadegan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Department, University of Guilan
 <sup>2</sup> MSC Student, Civil Engineering Department, University of Guilan, Iran;
 <sup>3</sup> BSC Student, Mechanical Engineering Department, University of Azad Anzali, Iran;

Received: July24, 2015 Accepted: September 31, 2015

# ABSTRACT

Water level fluctuation in concrete dams can have a significant effect on stress-strain behavior at different locations in the body of such structures. This effect is more pronounced when the earthquake induced forces are present. Sefidrud dam which is located in the north part of Iran, has suffered from the progressive crack development during the earthquake occurred in 1990 in that region. Creation of the longitudinal crack near the normal water level of the mentioned concrete dam while the reservoir was full has caused the motivation to investigate the effect of reservoir water level on crack development in the body of the dam. In this paper, using the data of the Rudbar-Manjil earthquake, the behavior of Sefidrud dam is modelled numerically and the effect of different reservoir water levels on generation and development of crack in the body of the dam is investigated. The relation between the water level and dimension of crack is empirically estimated and verified using the real field measurements indicating a good agreement. Following the dynamical analysis of Sefidrud dam, the stress-strain counters are achieved indicating the critical points in the cross section of the concrete dam. Based on these results the location and dimensions of possible cracks are estimated and compared with the measured data available after the mentioned earthquake. The results are encouraging for further development of the proposed model to predict the location and estimate the dimension of progressive cracks in such conditions when the seismic forces due to earthquake exist.

KEYWORDS: Sefid-Rud dam, Elastoplastic, Dynamic analysis, Reservoir water level, Stress-strain curve, Crack.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent centuries, many concrete dams have been affected by the earthquakes with magnitudes over than 6.5 on the Richter scale that their amount of damages was very high, including Shih-Kang dam in Taiwan (2001), Sefid-Rud dam of Iran in 1994 and Hessing Fing Kiang in China [1]. One of the most important factors influencing the damages severities caused by the dynamic forces is the reservoir water level and the forces derived from it on the dam wall.

Sefid-Rud dam as one of the four large dams in Iran, a non-reinforced concrete buttress type, has been constructed on a river with the same name during 1958 and 1962. The dam's height and crest length are 106 and 425 m, respectively; and it consists of 7 weight blocks and 23 stable blocks, which the length of each block is 14 m. This dam with an initial reservoir volume of 1,800,000,000 m3 has been located on a basaltic and andesitic foundation in the city of Manjil, Guilan province. With respect to the high-seismicity potential of Central Alborz and its seismic history, the assessment and investigation of the dam's seismic behavior influenced by severe earthquakes is one of the major issues in engineering. Most of the dams that were constructed in the not-so distant past have linearly been calculated with the static-analytical method. Thus, these dams are always vulnerable to non-linear analyzes and dynamic forces caused by the earthquake. Therefore, these dams' assessments against the new forces are very important and effective.

In recent years, many studies have been conducted to make dynamic analyzes of the concrete dams using the shaking tables in vitro [2 & 3]. Although the scale and material used in the tests are debatable, a dynamic analysis of the concrete dams has not yet been made regarding the earthquake impact of the Sefid-Rud dam. In the literature, the critical stresses and their locations, increasing trend of stress and the dynamic forces exerted on the dam wall depend on the factors such as the dam geometry, concrete heterogeneity, the status of reservoir, the reservoir water level, the dam longevity and the characteristics of earthquake that must exactly be applied during modeling procedure of the dam [4].

# 2. Non-Linear Behavior of Concrete

Tensile strength of concrete is one of the important factors influencing the non-linear behavior of concrete. The tests conducted on the concrete specimens showed that in addition to the mechanical properties of the concrete constituents and the specimen dimensions, the loading speed impacts on the tensile strength of concrete. The results obtained from the studies conducted on the concrete specimens of Sefid-Rud dam, which were exposed to the static and dynamic loading in vitro, showed that the compressive and tensile strength of concrete represent a different behavior, and the concrete compressive and tensile strength behavior, and the split cylinder tests for

\* Corresponding Author: Mir Ahmad Lashteh Neshaei, Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Department, University of Guilan; Email: maln@guilan.ac.ir tension strength, researchers reported that the proportion of tensile strength of concrete in the dynamic loading is almost 1.30, 1.44 and 1.45 times larger than the tensile strength in the statistic loading [5].

(1)

(5)

(6)

# 3. Non-Linear Concrete Model

The Drucker-Prager failure criterion is used for the non-linear concrete model.

$$F(I_1, J_2) = aI_1 + \sqrt{J_2 - K}$$
.  
where,

K & a =constant values dependent material properties that depend on the material adherence and the internal friction angle.

I1 = the sum of main tensions in 3dimensionality.

J2 = deviatoric tension matrix

If F(I1 & J2) = 0, there is no problem in the materials and they are not included in the elastic range, but if F (I1 & J2)  $\ge$  0, the materials lose their strength and will flow.

The relationship between coefficients K and a and Mohr-Coulomb parameters can be expressed as follows: For the symmetric flow:

$a = \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \varphi}}$	(2)
$k = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 3}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2}} \sin^2 \alpha}}$	(3)
For the deviatoric and plain strains: $a = \sin \varphi$	(4)

$$u = sm \varphi$$
  
 $X = C.cos \varphi$ 

Therefore, in order to define the non-linear materials with Mohr-Coulomb and Drucker-Prager methods, it is enough to define the parameters  $v, E, \phi$  and C.

# 4. Modeling and Allocation of the Environmental Characteristics

Two modeling methods can be used for modeling the dam and the reservoir water. The first is Lagrange-Lagrange method and the second is Euler-Lagrange method, which the former has been used in this paper. In Euler-Lagrange method, the main parameter of water element is pressure. Therefore, the hydrodynamic pressure can directly be obtained after analyzing the model. [6]

### 4.1. Lagrange-Lagrange Method

In this stage, for the dam-foundation-reservoir system, the dynamic equation of motion should be solved according to the nodal point displacements of finite element network as follows [7]:

 $M\ddot{u} + C\dot{u} + Ku = F(t)$ 

Where,

M = Mass Matrix

C = Damping Matrix

K =Stiffness Matrix

 $\mathbf{\dot{u}}$  = Acceleration Vector

a = Velocity Vector

- **u** = nodal point displacements of finite element network vector
- F(t) = external forces vector

According to Fig. 1, the boundary conditions of dam-foundation-reservoir system will be applied in the planes R1 to R5 as follows [7, 8 & 9]:



Fig. 1. Dam-foundation-reservoir system and the method of distributing pressure throughout the dam body- Agraphical representation of Vector Point

### 4.1.1. The boundary conditions for the dam-foundation-reservoir in lagrange method

In Fig.1. at the upstream boundary of reservoir (R1), the boundary condition should be applied in order to meet the condition for passing the water pressure waves without any reflection. The depreciation matrix derived from these components can be written as follows [8 & 9]:

$$C_{M} = \rho_{W} C_{W} \int_{R_{1}}^{m} N^{T} n^{T} n N dr$$

$$C_{w} = \sqrt{K_{\pi} / \rho_{w}}$$
(7)
(8)

Where,

N = shape functions of reservoir parts at the boundary S1

n = normal vector perpendicular to the boundary

 $\rho_{\rm W}$  = density of the fluid

 $C_{w}$  = elastic wave velocity in the reservoir

### 4.2. Euler-Lagrange Method

In this section, for the structures' environment (dam and foundation), the dynamic equation of motion has been expressed in Eq. (6) in terms of nodal point displacement of structure [7, 8 & 9].

The differential equation for the hydrodynamic pressure waves in the reservoir will follow the equations called the quasi-harmonic equations which are expressed as follows [9 & 10]:

(9)

$$\nabla^{\Gamma} K_{B} \nabla P - \rho_{W} P = 0$$

 $K_B = K_B I$ 

Where,

I = unit matrix<sup>#</sup>=the second derivative with respect to time

 $\nabla^{T}$  = Transpose operator  $\nabla$ 

 $\mathbf{\Gamma}$  = internal surface of reservoir

Ritz-Galerkin's method was used to solve the above differential equation.

#### 4.2.1. The boundary conditions for the dam-foundation-reservoir system in in Euler method

The boundary conditions for the four boundaries of the reservoir are computed as follows [8, 9 & 10]: Boundary conditions for the wave propagation in the boundary (R1) are expressed as follows:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial n} = \frac{-1}{C_w} \dot{p}$$

$$-\int_{R_1} N^T \frac{\partial P}{\partial n} dr = \left(\frac{1}{C_w} \int_{R_1} N^T N dr\right) \dot{p}$$
(10)
(11)

 $J_{R_1}$ where.

 $\mathbf{p}$  = the first derivative with respect to time

The integral inside the brackets will be expressed as A1 and the depreciation matrix is a diffusion one.

#### 5. Selection of Element in the Element Formulation Model

Each element of the ANSYS software has different capabilities that have been determined in the input table for each element (the maximum capabilities for each element is 13). It can be made with the command KEYOPT. For example, with respect to the input table for the fluid element, if KEYOPT(2) = 0, then there is an interface between the element and structures, and the degrees of freedom will be Ux, Uy and PRES, and if KEYOPT(2) = 0, then there is no interface between the element and structures and the degree of freedom will just be PRES. Therefore, we have two fluid elements (we select the element FLUID29 twice when selecting the fluid element): The first is the fluid element adhered to the dam and the second is KEYOPT(2) = 1 where there is no interface between the fulid elements of the reservoir and dam and KEYOPT(2) = 0.

Since the analysis of gravity dam has been made in terms of the plane strain, we should use KEYOPT (3) = 2 for the element of PLANE82 which has been applied in the dam model (with respect to the input table for element in the guideline of ANSYS elements).

#### 6. Numerical Analysis

In the current study, the dynamic response of dam to the Modal and Harmonic analyzes with the horizontal acceleration of 0.5 g and the vertical acceleration of 0.25 g is investigated. This acceleration is almost equal to the ground acceleration in the earthquake of Avai (Oazvin) and the project acceleration in the reference [6] for comparing the results.

### 6.1. Characteristics of Analyzed Dam

In order to analysis the dam with the height of 106 m, the reservoir water level should be 100 m in height. We decrease the water level as much as 10 meters during a few steps in order to investigate the impact of water level on the analysis.

Figure 2 shows the geometric characteristics and boundary conditions. In this model, the damping factor of 5% has been used for the dam and reservoir. The length of reservoir and the width of crest were 200 and 10 m, respectively.

# 6.2. Characteristics of the Concrete and Water

The materials properties are as follows:

The density of concrete = 0.255t - S2/m4

The elastic modulus of concrete = 3.5e6 t/m2

The speed of sound in water = 1435 m/s

The density of water = 0.1019t - S2/m4

The compressive strength of concrete = 266 Ton/m3

The tensile strength of concrete = 15% of the compressive strength of concrete (with respect to the factors affecting it), thus its value will be 39.9 Ton/m3

Therefore, the values of C and  $\phi$  have been calculated as 43.21 Ton/m3 and 54°, respectively.



Fig. 2. The dam-foundation-reservoir system and a graphical representation of the location of critical stresses and a general crack throughout the dam body

# 6.3. Modal Analysis

Diagram (1) shows the predominant mode (the first mode) of the ten water level and dam models with an empty reservoir.  $\alpha$  is the reservoir water level ratio to the reservoir height that has been illustrated in the plotted curve.

As can be seen in Diagram (1), the changes in frequency within the range of  $0 \le a \le 0.5$  are negligible and the frequency remains in the range of 3.4 and the first mode's frequency will be decreased more quickly by increasing the water level (a > 0.5).



Diagram. 1. The First Mode Frequency- Changes in the reservoir water level relative to the reservoir height and changes in the frequency

# 6.4. Harmonic Analysis

In order to conduct a harmonic analysis, the dam and reservoir system has been analyzed in the frequency range of 0 Hz to 10 Hz. Five critical points of the dam have been selected to compare the results obtained from the models' harmonic analyzes:



- B = Downstream heel
- C = A point with the height of 2 H in upstream
- D = A point with the height of 2 H in downstream
- E = the point of slope variations in downstream
- F = Height of dam



Fig. 3. Changed the mesh with increased water level

### 7. Extended Finite Element Method

The extended finite element method (XFEM), also known as generalized finite element method (GFEM) or partition of unity method (PUM) is a numerical technique that extends the classical finite element method (FEM) approach by extending the solution space for solutions to differential equations with discontinuous functions. The extended finite element method was developed to ease difficulties in solving problems with localized features that are not efficiently resolved by mesh refinement. One of the initial applications was the modeling of fractures in a material. [11] In this original implementation, discontinuous basis functions are added to standard polynomial basis functions for nodes that belonged to elements that are intersected by a crack to provide a basis that included crack opening displacements. A key advantage of XFEM is that in such problems the finite element mesh does not need to be updated to track the crack path. Subsequent research has illustrated the more general use of the method for problems involving singularities, material interfaces, regular meshing of micro structural features such as voids, and other problems where a localized feature can be described by an appropriate set of basis functions. It was shown that for some problems, such an embedding of the problem's feature into the approximation space can significantly improve convergence rates and accuracy. Moreover, treating problems with discontinuities with extended Finite Element Methods suppresses the need to mesh and remesh the discontinuity surfaces, thus alleviating the computational costs and projection errors associated with conventional finite element methods, at the cost of restricting the discontinuities to mesh edges. The present study is the application of this concept for solving three real life problems.

# 7.1. The extended finite element discretization

The discretization of the displacement set U is accomplished by the extended finite element method which allows the crack location to be arbitrary with respect to the mesh. We shall here summarize the main idea of this extension. For a complete presentation [12]. Fig. 3,4,5 shows a discontinuity (cohesive crack) placed on a structured and on an unstructured mesh. The classical finite element approximation on these meshes reads[13].

$$u^{h} = \sum_{i \in I} u_{i} \phi_{i} \tag{12}$$

where I is the set of all nodes in the mesh  $u_i$  is the classical (vectorial) displacement degree of freedom at node i and  $\phi_i$  is the shape function associated with node i. Each shape function  $\phi_i$  has compact support  $\phi_i$  given by the union of the elements connected to node i. In the numerical studies the shape functions  $\phi_i$  are linear (three-node triangle shape functions).



Fig. 4. Discontinuity on a structured mesh (a) and on an unstructured mesh (b). The circled nodes are enriched by the jump function H



Fig. 5. Discontinuity on a structured mesh (a) and on an unstructured mesh (b and on an unstructured mesh (c)

#### 8. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following results have been obtained from all of the analyzes conducted in this paper. The following tables (Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6) indicate the maximum stress variations in the 5 points of the dam and the maximum dam crest's movement in 11 models.

			I able	I. Moulli	eu suess	es in upsu		(1/mz)			
Model	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Α	672.1	603.5	524.4	461.7	418.7	414.8	460.8	470.5	516.5	655.9	465.4
	Table 2. Modified stresses in downstream heel										
Model	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
В	564.6	436	386.8	335.7	339	270.5	290.7	267.1	265.7	218.3	187.4
-											
		Table 3.	Modified	l stresses i	n upstrea	m dam w	ith the hei	ght of <mark>(T</mark> /	(m²)y/2		
Model	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
C	278	274	241	230.7	220.8	209.6	198.3	201.6	185.7	169.6	140.5
C	270			200.7	220.0	207.0	170.5	201.0	105.7	107.0	140.5
C	278	27.	211	250.7	220.0	209.0	170.5	201.0	105.7	107.0	140.5
L L	T	able 4. N	Iodified s	tresses in	downstre	am dam v	vith the he	ight of (	T/m <sup>2</sup> )y/	'2	140.5
Model	278 T	able 4. N	Iodified s	tresses in	downstre 5	am dam v 6	vith the he	ight of (1	T/m <sup>2</sup> )y/ 9	<sup>109.0</sup> 2	110.5
Model D	<b>T</b> <b>1</b> 267.7	able 4. N 265	10dified s 3 228.5	tresses in 4 202.5	downstre 5 194.3	am dam v 6 181.2	vith the he 7 172	ight of (1 8 170.6	<b>T/m<sup>2</sup>)y/</b> 9 133	<b>10</b> <b>10</b> <b>10</b> <b>121.5</b>	140.5 11 111.1
Model D	<b>T</b> <b>1</b> 267.7	able 4. N 2 265	10dified s 3 228.5	tresses in 4 202.5	downstre 5 194.3	am dam v 6 181.2	vith the he 7 172	ight of (1 8 170.6	<b>T/m<sup>2</sup>)y/</b> 9 133	<b>10</b> <b>10</b> 121.5	140.3 11 111.1
Model D	1 267.7 Table	able 4. N 265 5. Modif	Iodified s 3 228.5 ied stresse	tresses in 4 202.5 es in the p	downstre 5 194.3 oint of do	am dam v 6 181.2	vith the he 7 172 n slope cha	ight of (1 8 170.6 ange near	<b>T/m<sup>2</sup>)y/</b> 9 133 the crest (	<b>10</b> .0 <b>10</b> .0	<b>11</b> 111.1
Model D Model	278 T 267.7 Table 1	able 4. N 265 5. Modif 2	Andified s 228.5 ied stresse 3	tresses in 4 202.5 es in the p	downstre 5 194.3 oint of do	am dam v 6 181.2 pwnstream 6	vith the he 7 172 n slope cha 7	ight of (1 8 170.6 ange near 8	T/m <sup>2</sup> )y/ 9 133 the crest ( 9	<sup>1</sup> 2 10 121.5 (T/m2) 10	110.5 11 111.1
Model D Model E	<b>1</b> 267.7 <b>Table</b> <b>1</b> 27.9	able 4. N 265 5. Modif 2 58	Andified s 228.5 ied stresse 32.06	tresses in 4 202.5 es in the p 4 58.77	downstre 5 194.3 oint of do 5 57.71	am dam v 6 181.2 wwnstream 6 44.64	vith the he 7 172 n slope cha 7 38.86	ight of ( 8 170.6 ange near 8 38.46	T/m <sup>2</sup> )y/ 9 133 the crest ( 9 38.07	10.0 10.0 10 121.5 (T/m2) 10 38.14	140.3 11 111.1 111.3 36.2
Model D Model E	Tr 1 267.7 Table 1 27.9	able 4. N 265 5. Modif 2 58	Iodified s 3 228.5 ied stresse 3 32.06	tresses in 4 202.5 es in the p 4 58.77	downstre 5 194.3 oint of do 5 57.71	am dam v 6 181.2 ownstream 6 44.64	vith the he 7 172 1 slope cha 7 38.86	ight of (1 8 170.6 ange near 8 38.46	T/m <sup>2</sup> )y/ 9 133 the crest ( 9 38.07	10.0 121.5 (T/m2) 10 38.14	11 111.1 11 36.2
Model D Model E	<b>T</b> <b>1</b> 267.7 <b>Table</b> <b>1</b> 27.9	able 4. N 265 5. Modif 2 58 Ta	Iodified s 3 228.5 ied stresse 3 32.06 ble 6. Mo	tresses in 4 202.5 es in the p 4 58.77 odified ho	downstre 5 194.3 oint of do 5 57.71 rizontal n	200.0 am dam v 6 181.2 ownstream 6 44.64 novement:	vith the he 7 172 n slope cha 7 38.86 s of the da	ight of (1 8 170.6 ange near 8 38.46 m crest (c	T/m <sup>2</sup> )y/ 9 133 the crest ( 9 38.07 cm)	10.0 121.5 (T/m2) 10 38.14	110.3 11 111.1 36.2
Model D Model E Model	1 267.7 Table 1 27.9	able 4. N 2 265 5. Modif 2 58 Ta 2	Iodified s         3         228.5         ied stresse         3         32.06         ble 6. Mo         3	tresses in 4 202.5 4 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 202.5 4 4 58.77 202.5 4 4 58.77 202.5 4 58.77 4 4 58.77 4 4 4 58.77 4 58.77 4 58.77 58.77 4 4 58.77 58.77 4 4 58.77 58.77 4 58.77 58.	downstre 5 194.3 oint of do 5 57.71 rizontal n 5	am dam v 6 181.2 wwnstream 6 44.64 novement: 6	vith the he 7 172 n slope cha 7 38.86 s of the da 7	ight of ( 8 170.6 ange near 8 38.46 m crest (c 8	T/m <sup>2</sup> )y/ 9 133 the crest ( 9 38.07 cm) 9	10.0 2 10 121.5 (T/m2) 10 38.14 10	11 111.1 111.3 36.2

In the most cases, the system response will be increased by increasing the period of dam composed system and damreservoir interaction (especially in the horizontal mobility). [15] There is a direct relationship between its increase and the main frequency of reservoir. Generally, a significant error may be made (especially for high dams) if the interaction between dam and reservoir is accounted. Also, the dam flexibility can increase the system's period of vibration. Therefore, this parameter can increase the response too. As we can see in the Tables and Diagrams 2 and 3, almost all of the stresses are maximized when the reservoir is full. The stress of toe in the empty reservoir has suddenly been decreased due to the removal of water hydrodynamic force. For the dam model with a reservoir water level of 10 m in height, the stress under these conditions is not equal to the stress when the reservoir is full. When the water level of reservoir is decreased to approximately half of the previous level, the stresses are reduced to about 1/2 m of the dam height and then they will be fixed. According to Tables 7 and 8, the stress in the point of the crest slope variation will be increased. The cracks locations are equal to the cracks locations of static analysis [11]. The cracks in the heels will be developed to the upstream by increasing the loading frequency. The crack in the variation point of the crest slope is limited at this site, which exactly corresponded to the output of ANSYS program. The images for this analysis and the stress distribution inside the dam body are given in the following sections (Fig. 6)

Analysis of static and dynamic pressures resulted from the water level changes of concrete dams is especially very important in the earthquake-prone areas. Given that Iran is an earthquake-prone country- especially by having the cities such as Roudbar and Manjil that Sefid-Rud dam has been constructed there and they were subjected to one of the biggest earthquake of the past century (in 1990)- this issue will be important more and more. Therefore, the current paper has investigated the impact of different fluctuations of water level into Sefid-Rud dam's reservoir and the seismic forces on the dam's body, and the critical surface tension into the dam's body has been determined in the various locations. The elastoplastic behavior of concrete dam has been assumed and the stress-strain curve of concrete has been used under these conditions. [13] The quasi-static and dynamic analyzes of the dam body were seismically made in the various modes of reservoir's water level and the results have been provided as the charts and new relations that link the stress level and

deformation of key points of dam body to the reservoir water level position. These relations and charts may be good substitutes for other complicated methods in the past and they can be used to optimal design of the cross-sections of concrete dams against the dynamic forces derived from the earthquake. [14]



Fig. 6. The color contours of strains in the cross section of Sefid-Rud Dam (the red points show the general cracks)

<b>Table</b> 7. Woulded maximum and minimum successes in the water level norm the reservoir bed to the dam crest								
h(m)	Strain	Strain	Strain	Strain	Strain	Strain	Displacement/R	
	Min-x	Max-x	Min-y	Max-y	Min- z	Max-z		
10	-901184	-3208.97	-1840000	-18956.1	-459227	80368.8	0.003992	
20	-935495	-3219.29	-1860000	-18973.9	-464965	81360.9	0.003953	
30	-917399	-3220.96	-1930000	-19008.3	-481680	83358.1	0.003926	
40	-917837	-3200.34	-2010000	-19060.4	-502262	86915.6	0.00393	
50	-914891	-3168.86	-2070000	-19126.2	-516056	91830.4	0.003999	
60	-910214	-3079.44	-2090000	-19176.6	-523413	98228.3	0.004182	
70	-904828	-6683.26	-2110000	26733	-525908	105526	0.004542	
80	-899558	37340.8	-2130000	149363	-528484	112458	0.005153	
90	-895209	60184.5	-2170000	240738	-542702	118698	0.005917	
100	-892614	72813.2	-2200000	291253	-550964	121131	0.00659	

Table 7. Modified maximum and minimum stresses in the water level from the reservoir bed to the dam crest

Table 8. Modified maximum and minimum strains in the water levels from the reservoir bed to the dam crest.

h(m)	Strain	Strain	Strain	Strain Max-y	Strain Min-z	Strain Max-	Displacement
	Min-x	Max-x	Min-y			Z	/ <b>R</b>
10	-0.0000294	0.00000925	-0.0000839	-0.000000829	-0.000000793	0.000013	0.003992
20	-0.0000326	0.00000666	-0.0000845	-0.000000824	-0.00000079	0.0000166	0.003953
30	-0.0000324	0.00000363	-0.0000867	-0.000000824	-0.000000787	0.0000183	0.003926
40	-0.0000334	0.00000294	-0.0000904	-0.000000825	-0.000000772	0.0000184	0.00393
50	-0.0000348	0.0000023	-0.0000929	-0.000000825	-0.00000074	0.0000179	0.003999
60	-0.000036	0.00000162	-0.0000947	-0.000000822	-0.000000689	0.0000172	0.004182
70	-0.000037	0.00000155	-0.0000962	0.0000012	-0.000000629	0.0000168	0.004542
80	-0.0000379	0.00000163	-0.0000973	0.00000672	-0.000000571	0.0000168	0.005153
90	-0.0000385	0.0000017	-0.000099	0.00000108	-0.000000523	0.0000167	0.005917
100	-0.0000389	0.00000174	-0.0000999	0.00000131	-0.000000496	0.0000167	0.00659



Diagram. 2. Immediate increase of the stress in the cross section of dam with the changes in water level up to10m



Diagram. 3. The dam crest movements with the changes in water level



Fig. 7. Extended finite element method for cohesive crack growth in Abacus

# REFERENCES

- 1- ICOLD, 1998. World Register of dams. Coputerised version, Int. commission on Large Dams, Paris.
- 2- Niwa, A. & Clough, R.W. 1982. Nonlinearseismic Response of Arch Dams. Earthquake Eng & Struct. Dyn., 10.
- Pekau, O.A. & Lingmin, F. and Chuhan, Z. 1995. Seismic Fracture or koyna Dam:Case Study" Earthquake Eng. &Struct. Dyn., 24.
- 4- Tinawi, R. Leger, P. Leclerc, M. and Cipolla, G. 2000. Seismic Safety of Gravity dams: From shake lable experiments to numerical analysis, Journal of Structural Engineering.
- 5- Raphel, J.M. 1984. Tensile Strength of concrete. ACI Journal, Vol.81, No.2; Mar-Apr.
- 6- A Unified Approach to Stability, Bearing Capacity, Consolidatione, Greep and Flow for Twe and Three-Dimensional Simulations In Geotechnical Practice. 1<sup>Th</sup>. Zimmermann, A. Truty, A. Urbanski, S. Commend & K.Podles. Zsoil pp.30.
- 7- Wilson, E. L. and Khalvati, M. 1983. Finite elements for dynamic analysis of fluid-solid systems. Int. J. Num. Meth. Eng., Vol. 19.
- 8- Navayineya, B. and Ahmadei, M. T. Hydrodynamic analysis of dam and reservoir using Lagrangian method." I. J. of Eng. Science and Industrial University, Vol. 6, No.1.

- 9- Alijamshidi, D. 2004. Assessment of Lagrangian and Eulerian methods for determination of hydrodynamics pressures on concrete gravity dams under seismic effect. M.Sc. thesis, Civil Engineering Dept., Mazandaran University (in Persian).
- 10- Vaseghi, Amiri, J. 1997. Nonlinear dynamic analysis of tensile-shear failure in gravity dams including hydrodynamic interaction due to seismic loads. PhD thesis, Tarbiyate Modares University (in Persian).
- 11- EP 1110-2-12 Appendix B. 1995. Design example-Chopra's simplified method.
- 12- Mo€es N, Dolbow J, Belytschko T. 1999. A finite element method for crack growth without remeshing. Int J Numer Meth Engng; 46:131–50.
- 13- Belytschko T, Black T. 1999. Elastic crack growth in finite elements with minimal remeshing. Int J Numer Meth Engng; 45(5):601–20.
- 14- Belytschko T, Mo€es N, Usui S, Parimi C. 2001. Arbitrary discontinuities in finite elements. Int J Numer Meth Engng; 50:993–1013.
- 15- Melenk JM, Babu\_ska I. 1996. The partition of unity finite element method: basic theory and applications. Comp Meth Appl Mech Engng; 39:289–314.